From: Montgomery, Michael		
Location: Via Conference Line: Ex. 2	Conference Code	Ex. 2
Importance: Normal Subject: FW: Call with Jason Gray, Subcommittee on Interior, Agencies, Committee on Appropriations - To Discuss California Start Date/Time: Wed 7/22/2015 5:00:00 PM End Date/Time: Wed 7/22/2015 6:00:00 PM		elated
Original Appointment From: Maier, Brent Sent: Monday, July 20, 2015 11:53 AM To: Maier, Brent; Walsh, Ed; Montgomery, Michael; Albright, De Subject: Call with Jason Gray, Subcommittee on Interior, Environ Committee on Appropriations - To Discuss California UIC Issue When: Wednesday, July 22, 2015 10:00 AM-11:00 AM (UTC-08: Where: Via Conference Line: Ex. 2 Conference Code	onment, and Related A :00) Pacific Time (US	Agencies,
Sending this calendar invite to get on everyone's calendars	s Brent	
Ok we are confirmed for Wednesday @1pm. Please use to the Ex. 2 Thanks	my conference line.	-[Ex. 2]
Ed		
On Jul 20, 2015, at 2:32 PM, Maier, Brent < Maier.Brent@epa.g.	ov> wrote:	
Ed -		
This time works for me. Will wait to hear if it is confirmed	l. Thanks.	
Brent Maier Congressional Liaison U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne St. (OPA-3) San Francisco, CA 94105 Ph: 415.947.4256		
From: Walsh, Ed Sent: Monday, July 20, 2015 10:04 AM To: Montgomery, Michael; Maier, Brent Subject: RE: U.S. likely to bar oil-waste dumping into 10 Californ	nia aquifers (SF Chror	nicle)

I talked to Jason Will Wednesday @1pm EST work? That won't be too early --

We can use my call in number - Ex. 2

Conference Code Ex. 2

From: Montgomery, Michael

Sent: Monday, July 20, 2015 12:28 PM

To: Maier, Brent; Walsh, Ed

Subject: RE: U.S. likely to bar oil-waste dumping into 10 California aquifers (SF Chronicle)

Ed -

I am free 12-12:30, 1-2, 3-3:30 today. Wednesday I am free all day except 12-2pm.

Michael Montgomery Acting Director - Water Division (July 6th, 2015 - September 4th, 2015) US EPA Region 9 415-972-3438

From: Maier, Brent

Sent: Monday, July 20, 2015 8:41 AM

To: Walsh, Ed; Strauss, Alexis **Cc:** Montgomery, Michael

Subject: RE: U.S. likely to bar oil-waste dumping into 10 California aquifers (SF Chronicle)

Ed -

I am available any day this week except for Tuesday from 7:30am - 4:00pm PT.

Brent Maier

Congressional Liaison U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne St. (OPA-3) San Francisco, CA 94105

Ph: 415.947.4256

From: Walsh, Ed

Sent: Monday, July 20, 2015 8:36 AM

To: Strauss, Alexis

Cc: Montgomery, Michael; Maier, Brent

Subject: Re: U.S. likely to bar oil-waste dumping into 10 California aquifers (SF Chronicle)

Thanks. Let me know some times your all available and I will float those times to Jason.

On Jul 20, 2015, at 11:31 AM, Strauss, Alexis < Strauss. Alexis@epa.gov > wrote:

Mike, would you and Brent please work with Ed to set up an update for Jason? I'd be glad to join you. I sent him this note given an earlier issue, will explain at SMT. Thanks, Alexis

From: Gray, Jason [mailto:Jason.Gray@mail.house.gov]

Sent: Friday, July 17, 2015 5:59 PM

To: Strauss, Alexis

Cc: Montgomery, Michael; Maier, Brent; Walsh, Ed

Subject: Re: U.S. likely to bar oil-waste dumping into 10 California aquifers (SF Chronicle)

Thanks Alexis. Appreciate the update. I'll need to dust the cobwebs a little bit in order to have a good conversation on this. It sounds like that's something we should do. If I recall, there was a letter from EPA in '83 that folks believed granted exemptions for the 11 aquifers, but it sounds like that is not the case from this article. And there certainly seems to be some recent developments and much back-and-forth. I'm available to talk next week anytime, perhaps at your first available opportunity.

Thanks and have a great weekend Jason

On Jul 17, 2015, at 5:09 PM, Strauss, Alexis < Strauss.Alexis@epa.gov> wrote:

Jason,

You've been keeping up with this. Today's SF Chronicle covered the SDWA UIC compliance plan the State (CA DOGGR) provided us, see below. Longer term, we'll have a significant workload of aquifer exemptions. We'd be glad to brief you.

Alexis

Alexis Strauss Deputy Regional Administrator E.P.A. Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 415-972-3572

U.S. likely to bar oil-waste dumping into 10 California aquifers By David R. Baker

July 16, 2015 Updated: July 16, 2015 7:26pm

Oil companies will probably have to stop injecting their wastewater into 10 Central Valley aquifers that the state has let them use for years, in the latest

fallout from a simmering dispute over whether California has adequately protected its groundwater from contamination. The aquifers lie at the heart of a decades-old bureaucratic snafu whose discovery has upended the state office that regulates oil-field operations and prompted lawmakers to demand reform.

Starting in 1983, California's Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources let companies dump water left over from their drilling operations into 11 aquifers that the state believed had received federal exemptions from the U.S. Safe Drinking Water Act, which shields groundwater supplies from pollution. But the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency insisted it had never granted the exemptions. The aquifers, according to the EPA, should have been protected.

After the disagreement came to light, the division agreed to stop oil-company injections into the disputed aquifers or ask the EPA for formal exemptions, which would allow oil companies to continue using the aquifers for disposal. But in an update to the EPA on Wednesday, the division said 10 of the 11 aquifers probably would not meet the legal standards for exemption. They lie too close to the surface -- in one case, as shallow as 200 feet -- and their water isn't salty enough.

One of the 10 aquifers may still be eligible for an exemption, because it may be part of an oil reservoir, said division spokesman Donald Drysdale. The division is still seeking more information. "We're trying to run that to ground right now," he said.

Five of the aquifers are no longer being used for wastewater disposal, according to the division. If the others don't receive exemptions, wastewater injections there must stop by Dec. 31, 2016.

California's oil fields contain large amounts of salty water mixed with the oil,

the remains of an ancient sea. That water must be stripped from the petroleum and disposed of, usually by pumping it back underground. Often, it goes back into the same oil reservoir it came from.

But over time, the division has allowed oil companies to inject billions of barrels of this wastewater into aquifers that had relatively clean water -- water that with treatment could have been used for drinking or irrigation. So far, the state has not found any instances in which the injections contaminated drinking-water supplies. But the division has shut down 23 injection wells that it considered high-risk, due to their close proximity to drinking-water wells.

The division has now established a timetable for phasing out all of the injections into aquifers that should have been protected by the Safe Drinking Water Act, with the last injection wells scheduled to close in February 2017. That long time frame will give the oil companies a chance to find other ways to deal with their "produced water." But it has infuriated environmentalists, who have sued the state to force an immediate shutdown of the injection wells.

The federal EPA can exempt aquifers from the law, but only under stringent conditions. The aquifer must be salty enough or deep enough that tapping it for drinking water isn't practical. If it contains significant amounts of oil or minerals, it's considered a strong candidate for exemption. If, however, someone already uses it for drinking, it cannot receive an exemption.